Lemuria

IN THE COURT OF OWOT ARBITRATION

Lemuria
vs.
d9eoead, representing himself,
& other Our World of Text users
hereafter, the "CONCERNED PARTIES".

July 12, 2025

From:
Lemuria
`https://lemuria.ph`

For electronic delivery to:
Lauren Fern, Discord Administrator
Our World of Text

Distribution is limited to Our World of Text-related spaces.

f1b98667-2a35-4037-9710-633128a02530

This document may have been included with a PGP signature.

To prevent lies from being spread by a forged version of this document, please make sure to verify the PGP signature, or ensure that you trust the source from which this document was downloaded.

Contents

1 Summary

Since June 2024, Lemuria has found himself in conflict with multiple users on the site Our World of Text. This conflict has arisen as a result of multiple causes, which include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. **Attention-seeking behavior.** Lemuria's behavior within the Our World of Text Discord server has often been criticized as "attention-seeking behavior". It is somewhat true that Lemuria has a philosophy of *attention extraction* and an entire formal system developed around the concept, with parallels to the attention economy, however,

2. **Information gathering.** Lemuria gathers information to better understand the world at large, satisfy his curiosity, and provide intellectual stimulation. However, these actions have been repeatedly mischaracterized as "stalking", a highly inaccurate term.

2 Issues

This section expands on the list of issues in the summary, and offers more information.

2.1 Attention

The desire for attention has been one of the core causes of the conflict. Lemuria's mentions of musicians and Twitch are legitimate expressions of his interests that should be afforded the baseline level of respect, and not discriminated against.

   Regrettably, the following factors have caused these conflicts:

1. **Low overlap of interests.** Lemuria's interests have a lower overlap with the general core of interests that Our World of Text users have, and his repeated expression of these interests has caused misguided anger.

2. **Hostility to new interests.** Occasionally, Lemuria will discuss a new interest that he has chosen to "allocate" to Our World of Text. However, this will often result in immediate hostility due to incorrect perceptions that conversations are being "derailed" or "misguided", even though the timing of the new topic's insertion was properly timed to ensure as smooth a transfer as possible. An argument then ensues, further derailing the conversation with an argument, significantly reducing the salvageability of the topic due to the intensity of the Lemuria-OWOT conflict and the rehashing of old subarguments that have never been definitively settled.

3. **Mental differences.** Lemuria is autistic, a fact that he disclosed in August 2024. Though this does not justify any negative actions that Lemuria has taken in the past, this information should be added to the context that should be taken into account when an action is judged on its "good" or "bad" status.

2.2 Information gathering

Lemuria gathers information to better understand the world at large, satisfy his curiosity, and provide intellectual stimulation. However, these actions have been repeatedly mischaracterized as "stalking", a highly inaccurate term. Here are the reasons why this information gathering is a legitimate activity.

1. **All information is public.** By posting content to social media or the Internet, or other sources, there is an implicit expectation that the post will receive engagement. Data subjects and users are thus consenting to other persons accessing and reading their posts. Users who wish not to disclose certain information should simply refrain from posting the information publicly.

2. **No physical harm is caused.** Lemuria is incapable of causing physical harm to any data subject, nor does he intend to, as his actions are merely observational and do not involve contacting the subject directly or attempting to meet them in real life. Additionally, because he resides in the Philippines, and most of the persons he gathers information on are American, the Pacific Ocean presents a large significant safety buffer.

3. **Wikipedia engages in similar practices.** Wikipedia contains articles on millions of people, often in more detail than Lemuria's wiki himself. The similarities between Wikipedia and Lemuria in their methodology are numerous; both rely on publicly available sources, combine the information, and provide summaries of the information on a wiki page. Additionally, an argument could be made that Lemuria is *less* intrusive than Wikipedia, as his wiki is only visible to himself and a select few Discord servers where he has posted screenshots, whereas, Wikipedia distributes their articles to millions of people around the globe. Thus, it is highly hypocritical to accept or to not criticize Wikipedia for its practices, while criticizing Lemuria more harshly for the same or similar practices.

4. **The originator of the information decides.** OWOT users do not have the authority to decide on behalf of other people whether their information should be included in Lemuria's wiki. And because the originators of the information publicly posted the information, it is safe to assume that they consent to others having the information that they have originated.

This overbroad and incorrect use of the term "stalking" to describe what is essentially the light browsing of a person's social media risks diluting the severity of the term, and minimizing the risks and challenges that true victims of stalking face. It also villifies a completely harmless activity that many people on the Internet often engage in, during their free time. Actual stalking takes place when the stalker makes attempts to physically harm their target, or repeatedly sends harassing or unwanted communications towards them, despite explicit requests to not do so.

Furthermore, Wikipedia's definition of the term is problematic. It reads "Stalking is unwanted and/or repeated surveillance or contact by an individual or group toward another person." Thus, according to Wikipedia, stalking is the following:

1. Unwanted surveillance or contact.

2. Unwanted and repeated surveillance or contact.

3. Repeated surveillance or contact.

Where problems arise is in the third interpretation of the original sentence. With this, all forms of legitimate regular contact would be considered stalking. Two people with a long-term friendship talking to each other repeatedly would be stalking each other. Music fans following their favorite musicians on social media, in the sense of pressing the "follow" button, not tracking them and tailing them in real life, would be stalking, regardless if it was Lemuria, or another person with a personality more amenable to the norms of the Our World of Text userbase.

2.2.1 Distribution of the information

It is important not to conflate the act of *gathering and organizing the information* (putting the subject's name in search engines; writing wiki articles), with *publishing the information* (posting screenshots of the wiki on Discord). Our World of Text users have more standing against Lemuria publishing the information, and are certainly able to react to such posts with wastebaskets if they so choose, but this does not mean that *gathering* the information is illegitimate.

2.3 Spillover outside of OWOT

The Lemuria-OWOT conflict has spilled over to other communities within Lemuria's sphere of influence (i.e. all sites, communities, and Internet spaces whose existence is known to him; and where he maintains a consistent presence).

Lemuria has documented one attack so far on Twitch; one against Gallia Kastner's server. In April 2024, d9eoead and Lemonnozzle joined Kastner's Discord server, and forwarded multiple messages from Our World of Text to Kastner's server, bringing toxicity and drama to a place that does not need it. The moderators, who at their worst are more sensible than OWOT's administration at its best, took the correct course of action and swiftly deleted the messages and issued strict warnings against such harassment.

> @lemonnozzle posting someones private information for everyone to see is not okay, also this seems like a public excecution so I will have to warn u to stop this type of behaviour thank you. We are friendly community here and we dont accept bullying or sudden bursts of insulting people outta blue.
> - A moderator who took action against Lemonnozzle

> @lemonnozzle I have deleted your messages. Please do not share other people's personal information or insult other people in this server -this is a public space and there is no room for that behavior here. Consider this your final warning, the next similar offense will result in a kick or a ban
> - Another moderator

The intent of such reports is apparently, to "expose" Lemuria and spread more unverified allegations against him. However, these are not reports. This is harassment, not only in the eyes of Lemuria, but in the moderators' eyes too. The moderators of Kastner's server, the very people that d9eoead and the other OWOTers have targeted, have spoken; this is bullying, and this behavior has no place here.

Furthermore, when Our World of Text users repeatedly call on Lemuria to leave, and do so, only to follow Lemuria to the other corners of the internet where he has chosen to spend his time, it goes against the point of leaving Our World of Text or withdrawing from the site in the first place: to enable better mental health improvement opportunities for both parties.

Lemuria has been forced to spend countless hours checking for the presence of OWOT members, preparing statements, and self-censoring content for publication on OWOT to prevent the leakage of interests whose existence no OWOTer should know of. This has triggered a "chilling effect" of sorts, meaning that Lemuria is not able to publicly post content as much, thus resulting in a lower total attention input flow rate.

2.4 Semantics

Semantics is a key underlying issue in the conflict; the "stalking" section exemplifies this aspect.

2.4.1 "Night shift" argument

On June 25, 2024, Caioz sent a message of themselves trying a PNG to SVG conversion tool. The resulting image was described as a "creepypasta". The image, sent during nighttime hours in Lemuria's timezone, prompted him to reply, "thanks for scaring me on my night shift at 2am". This message immediately prompted a hostile response from Andrei, who misrepresented Lemuria as a "LARPer" and made numerous incorrect and *ad hominem* arguments during the course of the argument. Throughout the course of the argument, Lemuria maintained his rationality and composure, while Andrei repeatedly resorted to making *ad hominem* comments

A night shift usually refers to a shift at night, or work. However, in Lemuria's variety of speech, the meaning of the term has expanded to refer to nighttime activity in general. This is an appropriate shift in meaning, because both night shifts in the context of jobs or employment, and Lemuria's nocturnal activity, take place during the same time; at night.

3   History

Our World of Text has a long and rich history, traceable to Andrew Badr's *Your World of Text*. The relevant portion of this history, that pertains to this case, is November 2023 – present.

In November 2023, Lemuria discovered Our World of Text through spam comments on OpenStreetMap left by Polonez. He briefly visited the site, but stopped using it shortly after. In February 2024, he resumed regular activity on the site. In the same month, he began operating a newspaper for the site, called *Our World of News*. This era of his involvement was mostly untouched by conflict; he was neutral, and slightly liked on the site.

3.1   Our World of News

In late February 2025, Lemuria established a newspaper for Our World of Text, named *Our World of News*. Our World of News was originally published on a daily schedule, before switching to every other day, and eventually, weekly.

Throughout 2024, Our World of News covered major events on Our World of Text and within its community, such as d9eoead's ban for posting Murder Drones porn (April), Lauren Fern's rise to power (May), her coming out to the OWOT community as a transgender woman (July), d9eoead's 90-day ban for LIMB (September), and the Aywen Migration (December).

3.2   The "Cooked Fish Group"

The first signs of conflict arose by June 2024. On May 13, 2024, Lemuria sent a message that would be the first mention of a group known as the "Cooked Fish Group", a psuedonym that Lemuria created to refer to the group to protect their privacy while allowing him to express his grievances with that group's moderators. The Cooked Fish Group is completely unaffiliated with Our World of Text, and Lemuria is the only member of both, and intends that it remain that way in perpetuity.

This psuedonym arose after one of the moderators of the Cooked Fish Group made a decision in April 2024 to delete a message posted by Lemuria containing an image of a raw fish, claiming that it was "NSFW", and "bloody", which Lemuria perceived as an overreaction.

Due to no real progress being made to resolve the conflict, messages regarding the Cooked Fish Group were repeatedly sent in the Our World of Text Discord server, which began the first sparks of the Lemuria-OWOT conflict by the beginning of June 2024.

3.3   Gallia Kastner

As 2024 progressed, the conflict did so, too. Lemuria discovered Gallia Kastner, and began posting about her on Our World of Text. This further added onto Lemuria's already declining reputation. On June 10, 2024, Fern deleted a photo of Kastner that Lemuria posted.

3.4   February timeouts

Two timeouts were administered in February 2025, affecting Lemuria for 48 hours total.

The first timeout was caused by Lemuria raising grievances over a joke he had created not receiving the appropriate number of reactions due to another user having posted a derivative version of the joke. However, Lemuria has since fully reconciled with the "thief" of the joke in the months since the incident. Name, the "thief", has given Lemuria attention on multiple occasions, and has been willing to discuss causeways with him in the *Anna Harren Memorial Bridge* thread, a thread Lemuria started to discuss causeways.

The second timeout was caused as a result of the misinterpretation of the intent of a message regarding the hypothetical construction of a causeway that if real, would have served as a memorial to a deceased person who was briefly discussed in a channel or thread in the Our World of Text Discord server. This timeout was sudden and prevented Lemuria from fully communicating the intent behind the aforementioned messages, allowing misinformation in the form of misinterpretations of the message to spread throughout the community and take root within its brains. This error has yet to be corrected, unfortunately.

4 Lemuria's critics

It is also important to note that Lemuria's critics, like Lemuria themselves, have shortcomings. Nobody is perfect.

4.1 d9eoead

d9eoead has a track record of similar bans himself.

1. **Pornography.** D9eoead posted Murder Drones porn in the #trash channel in April 2024, and was banned by FP for doing so.

2. **Sexualizing a minor.** As LIMB ("Lime is my bitch"), d9eoead repeatedly sexualized Lime, a minor. While d9eoead *is not a pedophile* and should not be treated as such, due to the evidence falling short of the high standards that such a serious accusation garners, sexualizing a minor is highly concerning behavior. D9eoead was given a 90-day ban for this behavior.

3. **Making a rape threat.** D9eoead's ban was further extended after he sent an indirect rape threat to MCL. The message read "asterisk five indian men rape MCL asterisk". The extension of the ban was 15 days.

4. **Lack of professionalism.** Over the course of the initial phase, as both parties worked on their documents, D9eoead repeatedly insulted Lemuria in an unprofessional manner, using *ad hominem* comments.

5 Past texts

Some past texts have been included from during the Lemuria-OWOT conflict. One of these, an article from OWON 00066 on November 2, 2024, is extraordinarily long.

5.1 OWON conflict and moderation blotter, 2024-11-02

Marouane wastebasket

2024-10-27

At 17:06 UTC, Marouane sent a message which read "Can you unlock Stereo Madness icon in GD Subzero by transferring save files from Lite to Subzero?".

At around 18:48 UTC, Andrei was the fifth person to react to the post with the wastebasket emoji, thus bringing the post to the necessary threshold of five.

This was accompanied by a ping sent to Fern by Andrei and the subsequent deletion of the post.

Lemuria sent a technical brief regarding the application of rotational force to the testicles.

This was in reply to another person who posted a meme containing a textual call-to-action for a similar action as a form of joke, which is common and normal within the Our World of Text Discord server as it is regarded as a joke.

Andrei did not react visibly to the technical brief nor did he send any hostile messages that would have led to a subargument.

Our World of Pixels reports

At 2024-10-27 14:21 UTC, a report of multiple swastikas being drawn was made by Breztec0088.

At 14:23 UTC, 133647 was banned for 10 days by Cygnus.

Lemuria-Andrei argument

2024-10-28

This argument is weakly linked to the Marouane wastebasketing.

Claude Meltroth, or Moth Cult Leader, at 2024-10-27 20:54 UTC, replied to Andrei's ping of Fern notifying her that Marouane's post had reached the deletion threshold with a GIF.

The GIF posted is that of a crowd watching a depiction of a man on a large screen, likely from a movie adaptation of the George Orwell book Nineteen Eighty-Four, more commonly known as 1984.

Lemuria then replied to the GIF posted by Moth Cult Leader with a list of four demands towards Lemuria, in quotes, attributed to "andrei, probably", at 21:11 UTC.

These messages were sent with the intent to satirize Andrei's personality and his ongoing ideological conflict with Lemuria which has persisted since around June 2024 and is comprehensively documented within these dossiers.

The message's content was as follows:

"YOU WILL DELETE YOUR TWITCH ACCOUNT."

"YOU WILL STOP LISTENING TO MUSIC."

"YOU WILL TAKE AMNESTICS TO FORGET AND DISSOLVE YOUR KNOWLEDGE BASE."

"YOU WILL SEEK ONLY TO MAINTAIN A LOW PROFILE."

- Andrei, probably

At 21:25 UTC, Applepippin asked Lemuria if Andrei wanted Lemuria to "be akatas". Lemuria later sent a message reading "Mental strength and fortitude in the face of the Romanian Threat", where "Romanian Threat" was an epithet used for Andrei, reflecting his status as a Romanian national.

At 21:46 UTC, the argument began, when Andrei remarked that he was insufficiently qualified within the fields of psychology to inform Lemuria about how narcissism is "borderline brain-rotting", claiming that the process of informing a narcissist about their status as a narcissist is difficult, before explicitly claiming that Lemuria was a narcissist.

Lemuria maintains his belief that he is not a narcissist on the grounds that he has not been formally diagnosed by a qualified psychologist.

The message was accompanied by two screenshots of prior Andrei and Fern messages.

The first screenshot reaffirms Andrei's indifference to the content watched by Lemuria on Twitch, solely focusing his criticism on Lemuria's alleged gathering of "private" information and use of "robotic" terms to describe common activities on Twitch.

The second screenshot is that of Fern's definition of stalking, which considers the application of techniques used in open-source intelligence (OSINT) to a "non-consenting" individual solely for personal gain to be stalking. This is the definition of "stalking" that Andrei adheres to.

Andrei then replied to Lemuria's message about "mental strength and fortitude in the face of the Romanian Threat" by dismissing it as Lemuria looking "ridiculous", by allegedly "LARPing" as "some fucking federal agent".

Lemuria then instructed Andrei to immediately discontinue the use of the terms "LARPing", "stalking", "narcissist", "creep", and all inflections of the aforementioned terms in any languages, though Andrei only replied to this request mockingly.

Andrei then pointed to some earlier messages from Lemuria, dating to 2024-07-19, where he admitted to having split the history of a music streamer into the Pre-Twitch, Pre-Lemurian, and Post-Lemurian periods, saying doing so is "narcissism".

This division of the streamer's history is fully rational, as it satisfies the requirements of the target audience reading the biography, which is the author of the biography himself, Lemuria.

At 21:51, Lemuria chose to adopt a more formal typing style using capitalization, despite knowing Andrei's intense dislike of the style, to "piss him off" as he wrote.

Old arguments were rehashed again as Lemuria refuted Fern's claim that using OSINT techniques solely for personal gain was supposedly "stalking" by explaining that the usage of OSINT techniques provided its user with experience and skills, that could help demonstrate skill for a job interview with a governmental body in a position that would necessitate the use of OSINT techniques.

Andrei then replied with "if literally all stalkers could just use these sentences and everyone would be like "oh okay cool good luck on your careeer haaha" god damn would their jobs be so unfortunately fucking easy".

This text is incomprehensible to Lemuria and could not be rewritten according to Lemuria's technical standard of writing, so it has been quoted as-is in the blotter.

Lemuria then followed up with another argument against Andrei, quoted verbatim as follows:

"You have continually stretched the definition of "stalking" to extend to behaviors that you disapprove of.

Reading a Wikipedia article on a person, which is PUBLIC information, and reading news articles that the subject has consented to appear in, and interviews where the subject has put in hours of her time to participate willingly through speaking with the interviewer, is not stalking.

These activities only constitute the retrieval of public information willingly released by the subject. These activities are legitimate and do not constitute stalking.

Stalkers put their targets in physical distress or extreme emotional stress. My policy of not pursuing contact with the person prevents this type of harm from arising. My information-gathering activities are performed from

a far emotional and physical[1] distance.

[1] - Many of the persons whose public information I view live in North America, while I live in the Philippines. The Pacific Ocean is the largest ocean on Earth and a source of physical distance. Furthermore, the significant land area of North America adds additional distance if the person lives for example, in the Midwest, or on the East Coast.

Because my activities have not caused the person any observable distress or physical harm, these activities do not constitute stalking."

Andrei also accused Lemuria of storing private information on his wiki, pointing out the censor bars he placed in screenshots.

Lemuria explained that the screenshot Andrei had cited, that of the article on the History of Nalani Proctor, was sent before Proctor was revealed to be the true identity of the "Chief Fish Cook" in September.

The image that Andrei replied to had been sent in August.

While it is true that Lemuria's wiki contains private information, the private information in question pertains to him, rather than Proctor.

Eventually, Andrei again insinuated that Lemuria was using ChatGPT, remarking how he felt like he was "talking to ChatGPT" and "fucking reading a wiki article", the second usage of ad hominem tactics thus far, and showing his inability to come up with effective counterarguments.

FP joined the conversation, but by then Andrei had left, posting a god night message at 22:31 UTC, not wanting to continue the argument with Lemuria.

FP stated that there was a need to "establish the difference" between the definitions of "stalking", and "information gathering".

Andrei pointed to Fern's message again summarizing her definition of the term, while Lemuria asserted that the definition of stalking was when the subject was placed in mental or emotional distress, or when their information is processed anyway or retrieved despite an explicit lack of consent.

FP used Felix Kjellberg (PewDiePie) as an example for illustrative purposes. He stated that Kjellberg's date of birth was likely provided either in an interview, or through a friend, which was then noted in a reliable source, and then later cited by Wikipedia.

FP asked Lemuria to list the types of information he usually gathers, and Lemuria stated that he usually gathered full names, dates of birth, rough locations (with levels of precision anywhere from a town to a full country), major Internet activities, and how he has interacted with the person.

FP then acknowledged that the information Lemuria stated he desired was surface-level knowledge, with "almost every internet celebrity" having this information available.

However, he did state that combining seemingly unrelated information from multiple sources to attain private details (such as physical addresses), was referred to as doxxing.

FP later stated that he had forgotten the entire nature of the debate, due to its nature as a long-running conflict since around June 2024 and the requirement to read months' worth of chat logs to gain at least a basic understanding of the conflict. Towards the end, FP acknowledged that Lemuria had grown in maturity over the four months of the conflict, with it starting with Lemuria "comparing streamers to objects to be exploited".

This is presumably an inaccurate description initially created by Andrei due to a severe misunderstanding or disapproval of Lemuria's philosophy towards Twitch.

The argument effectively ended at 22:30 UTC, when FP switched the topic to an event in which a comedian speaking at a Trump rally referred to Puerto Rico as a "floating piece of garbage".

Vore and parrots

2024-10-28

Vore (or vorarephilia) is a fetish in which an entity devours another entity. Derived from Latin vorare (to devour), and the suffix -philia, itself from Ancient Greek φιλία ("fraternal love"). Moth Cult Leader used the term "vore", exclaiming "VORE?", which resulted in Moth Cult Leader receiving a single parrot reaction from tat. The parrot has been used multiple times against Lemuria, usually against references to Dorothy Miranda Clark, comparing it to a parrot talking.

6   Conclusion

The Lemuria-OWOT conflict is complex. While smaller in scale than most national conflicts, the complexity is the same, and sometimes even higher. The reason that this document exists in the first place was because Lauren Fern began a formal arbitration procedure for the Lemuria-OWOT conflict, in place of a summary judgement over "the *thing*". But, in Fern's words, "the lemuria beef has been cooking for so long and is more complex than india and pakistan's relationship atp".

Thank you for taking the time to read this report.

Lemuria, if you read this in 2035, or in 2045, here's hoping you look back on the improvements you'll make in the next 10 or next 20 years.

Our teenage years are a time of rapid growth, of rapid change, and rapid maturation.

> "You took the time and effort to write a 10 section statement critiquing me, and my every action in this server, in extreme detail. This is the greatest success of the Lemurian Attention Requisition Program.
>
> My time here is over.
>
> It's been a nice year, 8 months and 6 days. Thanks for nothing, you ungrateful fucks.
>
> - Rscr

There is no such thing as the "Lemurian Attention Requisition Program", however. You may be referring to Lemurian attention extraction operations instead.

Even if some sections and arguments were left unfinished due to time constraints.